1 The Honorable Robert S Lasnik 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 James McDonald No. C10-1952-RSL **Plaintiff** 8 Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion OneWest Bank, FSB, to Compel Pre-Trial Northwest Trustee Services, 9 Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Noting Date: March 1, 2013 Defendants. 10 11 Comes now, Plaintiff James McDonald, through the undersigned counsel, and files this 12 response to Defendants' Motion to Compel. 13 Plaintiff's counsel has been waiting for the "road map" that the Court stated it would 14 provide the parties for establishing dates moving forward in this litigation. This was stated at 15 the end of the Evidentiary Hearing on January 31, 2013. Plaintiff has also filed a Motion to 16 Continue Trial in order to rebut arguments and testimony that the Defense presented in the 17 evidentiary hearing. This motion was filed on February 15, 2013 and is noted for March 1, 18 2013. 19 The Defense is incorrect in assuming that Pre-Trial statements under Local Rule 16(h) are 20 connected in any way to deadlines for Motions in Limine. The deadline set forth in the Fourth 21 Amended Trial Dates for Motions in Limine occurred simultaneously to when the Plaintiff's pre-trial statement would have been due had the trial occurred on December 3rd, 2012 (Dkt. 22 23 162). The Defendants' pre-trial statement would not have been due for another 10 days. Both 24 HA THU DAO, ESQ. Plaintiff's Response to Motion to 787 Maynard Ave. S., Seattle, WA 98104 1 Compel

Case 2:10-cv-01952-RSL Document 232 Filed 02/25/13 Page 2 of 3

1	parties filed their Motions in Limine on the scheduled day of November 5, 2012. The Fifth	
2	Amended Trial Dates (Dkt. 202) and the Sixth Amended Trial Dates (Dkt. 203) continued the	
3	Pre-trial statements but did not extend the Motions in Limine as they have already been filed.	
4	The filing of this Motion is in itself frivolous and unnecessary. The Plaintiff was more than	
5	willing to accommodate the Defense and still make the deadline of the Pre-Trial Order on	
6	March 20, 2013. It is also interesting how the Defense asks for new dates in this Motion, but	
7	filed an opposition of extending the trial the previous day.	
8	Wherefore Plaintiff moves the Court to deny the Defense's motion.	
9	Respectfully Submitted this 25th day of February, 2013.	
10		
11	,	/s/ Ha Thu Dao
12		Ha Thu Dao, WSBA 21793 Attorney for Plaintiff
13	,	787 Maynard Ave. S., Seattle, WA 98104 (727) 269-9334/Fax 727-264-2447
14		hadaojd@gmail.com
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		HA THU DAO, ESQ.
	Plaintiff's Response to Motion to	787 Maynard Ave S Seattle WA 98104

1			
2	<u>CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE</u>		
3	I hereby certify on February 25, 2013, the foregoing document is being served viaFirst Class MailPriority Mail,Messenger ServiceFacsimileElectronic		
4	Mail_X_ECF, upon the following parties:		
5	Heidi Buck Morrison, Attorney for Defendants Routh Crabtree Olsen		
6	13555 SE 36th Street, Ste 300, Bellevue WA 98006 425-213-5534/Fax 425-458-2131		
7	hbuckmorrison@rcolegal.com		
8	Charles Katz, Attorney for Northwest Trustee Services Routh Crabtree Olsen 13555 SE 36th Street, Ste 300, Bellevue WA 98006 425-586-1940		
9			
10	ckatz@rcolegal.com		
11	Julie Vacura, Attorney for OneWest Bank and MERS Larkins Vacura 621 S.W. Morrison Street, Suite 1450, Portland, Oregon 97205 503-542-3103		
12			
13	jvacura@larkinsvacura.com		
14	/s/ Ha Thu Dao		
15	Ha Thu Dao, WSBA 21793		
16 17	787 Maynard Ave. S., Seattle, WA 98104 (727) 269-9334/Fax 727-264-2447		
18	hadaojd@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	HA THU DAO, ESQ.		
	Plaintiff's Response to Motion to 787 Maynard Ave. S., Seattle, WA 98104 Tel. 727-269-9334		

Fax727-264-2447 E-mail: hadaojd@gmail.com